

# JHET Editors' 2023 Report

Pedro G. Duarte

Jimena Hurtado

January 6, 2024.

# General announcements

- “Meet the JHET authors” video series: Gil Hersch and Maria Bach.
- JHET interviews:
  - Evelyn Forget by Virginie Gouverneur - 45:4
- HES at 50 initiatives:
  - Session with past editors at HES 23 meeting
  - 50th anniversary Special Issue, Dec. 2024: David Laidler, Harald Hageman, Harro Maas, Cristina Marcuzzo & Giulia Zappia, John Davis, Jose Luis Cardoso, Loïc Charles, Malcolm Rutherford, Margaret Schabas, Mauro Boianovsky, Philippe Fontaine, Roy Weintraub, José Edward & Yann Giraud & Iván Ledezma, Marianne Johnson, Karen Vaughn, Steve Medema, Evelyn Forget & Marcel Boumans
  - Special session with secretaries and treasurers at HES 24 meeting
- 4th JHET Online Writing Workshop – format change.

# New editorial team

- Two Proposals:
  - Proposal 1:
    - Marianne Johnson & Jean-Baptiste Fleury: co-editors in chief
    - Alain Marciano & Yann Giraud: co-editors in charge of symposia and book reviews
  - Proposal 2: Recommended by the Search Committee.
    - Erwin Dekker, Alexandre Cunha, Catherine Herfeld, Harro Maas: co-editors
    - Christina Laskaridis: book review editor

# New Submissions

	Up to June 1st		Up to December	
	RA & Letters	BReviews	RA & Letters	BReviews
<i>2016</i>	<b>31</b>	27	<b>79</b>	39
<i>2017</i>	<b>37</b>	15	<b>93</b>	28
<i>2018</i>	<b>44</b>	13	<b>98</b>	24
<i>2019</i>	<b>38</b>	10	<b>118</b>	20
<i>2020</i>	<b>45</b>	4	<b>100</b>	16
<i>2021</i>	<b>51</b>	15	<b>94</b>	22
<i>2022</i>	<b>40</b>	12	<b>119</b>	27
<i>2023</i>	<b>38</b>	16	<b>106</b>	24

# Gender Breakdown: New Submissions.

	Up to December					
	RA & Letters		BReviews		Symposuim	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<i>2018</i>	80%	20%	92%	8%		
<i>2019</i>	75%	25%	90%	10%		
<i>2020</i>	68%	32%	75%	25%		
<i>2021</i>	85%	15%	67%	33%		
<i>2022</i>	86%	14%	54%	46%	53%	47%
<i>2023</i>	72%	28%	96%	4%	53%	47%

# Gender Breakdown: Accepted Submissions.

	Up to December	
	RA & Letters	
	Male	Female
<i>2018</i>	74%	26%
<i>2019</i>	75%	25%
<i>2020</i>	65%	35%
<i>2021</i>	86%	14%
<i>2022</i>	76%	24%
<i>2023</i>	86%	14%

New  
Submissions:  
Selected  
countries

Country/Region	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Australia	6	4	3	2	1	4	2
Brazil	6	10	6	8	7	5	13
Canada	1	5	5	10	3	7	2
Chile	4	2	4	2	-	3	1
China	5	1	1	3	6	3	4
France	11	12	16	11	18	20	14
Germany	4	2	7	2	4	2	4
India	0	5	2	2	2	2	2
Italy	16	16	18	11	12	22	17
Japan	1	6	4	4	1	1	-
Spain	4	3	1	3	2	4	7
UK and Northern Ireland	6	10	20	16	10	12	7
United States	33	27	29	19	18	42	31

# Acceptance Ratio: Research Articles (new & revised submissions).

	Acceptance	Desk Rejection
2017	25.6	23.4
2018	21.8	12.8
2019	28.7	15.5
2020	31.6	16.7
2021	26.1	32.6
2022	32.2	22.8
2023	25.7	27.5

Queue:

Around 1 to 1 1/2 years after final acceptance.

Papers immediately available in our SocArXiv typescript series

Book reviews backlog reduced to make them available on FirstView sooner. .



# Submissions

	Prior 12 Months
Avg. days from submission to decision	49.9
Avg. Reviewer turnaround time (days) - <b>Original</b>	50.2
Avg. Reviewer turnaround time (days) - <b>Resubmission</b>	0.0
Avg. Reviewer turnaround time (days) - <b>Revision</b>	30.9
Avg. Time to Assign Reviewer (days) - <b>Original</b>	17.2
Avg. Time to Assign Reviewer (days) - <b>Resubmission</b>	0.0
Avg. Time to Assign Reviewer (days) - <b>Revision</b>	14.9
Avg. days from submission to final decision (days)	43.4

# 4th JHET Online Writing Workshop

	Applications	Young scholars	Region Institution					Gender	
			U.S.	Latin America	Europe	Asia	Other	Female	Male
Session 1	20	15	2	3	10	1	4	3	17
Session 2	34	27	1	2	14	2	15	6	28
Session 3	18	15	2	7	6	1	2	2	16
Session 4	19	14	4	4	6	2	3	4	15
Session 5	17	14	7	1	8	1	—	5	12
Session 6	10	6	—	4	6	—	—	4	6

# 4th JHET Online Writing Workshop

	Period					Non HE	
	Pre XVIIIth	XVIIIth	XIXth	XXth	XXIst	Related	Unrelated
Session 1	—	2	1	4	—	1	0
Session 2	—	4	6	14	—	6	2
Session 3	—	2	2	6	—	7	1
Session 4	—	3	2	6	3	4	1
Session 5	1	—	3	7	7	3	3
Session 6	—	1	2	6	—	1	—

# 4th Online Writing Workshop: Session 1

Which period best describes your research interests in history of economic thought?

20 responses



Are you an Early Career Scholar (graduate student or with a PhD obtained in the last 5 years)

20 responses




# Writing Workshop: Session 1

<b>Nationalities</b>	<b>Applications</b>
<i>Belgium</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Brazil</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Cameroun</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>China</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Colombia</i>	<b>3</b>
<i>Czech Republic</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>France</i>	<b>2</b>
<i>Germany</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Iran</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Italy</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Japan</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Switzerland</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Turkey</i>	<b>2</b>
<i>Uruguay</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Venezuela</i>	<b>1</b>

# 4th Online Writing Workshop: Session 2

Are you an Early Career Scholar (graduate student or with a PhD obtained in the last 5 years)


34 responses



- Yes, I'm a Master's student
- Yes, I'm a PhD student
- Yes, I obtained a PhD in the last 5 years
- No

Which period best describes your research interests in history of economic thought?

34 responses



- Pre 18th century
- 18th century
- 19th century
- 20th century
- I'm not a historian of economic thought, but work on related areas (methodology, philosophy of economics, history of science, etc.)
- I'm not a historian of economic thought and don't work on related areas

# Writing Workshop: Session 2

Nationalities	Applications
<i>Colombia</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Ecuador</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>France</i>	<b>5</b>
<i>Germany</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Iran</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Italy</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Nigeria</i>	<b>13</b>
<i>Slovakia</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Spain</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Turkey</i>	<b>2</b>
<i>Uruguay</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Venezuela</i>	<b>1</b>

# 4th Online Writing Workshop: Session 3


Are you an Early Career Scholar (graduate student or with a PhD obtained in the last 5 years)

19 responses



Which period best describes your research interests in history of economic thought?

19 responses






# Writing Workshop: Session 3

<b>Nationalities</b>	<b>Applications</b>
Brazil	<b>3</b>
<i>Colombia</i>	<b>5</b>
<i>France</i>	<b>3</b>
<i>Greece and Canada</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>India</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Iran</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Puerto Rico</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Slovakia</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Spain</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Venezuela</i>	<b>1</b>

# 4th Online Writing Workshop: Session 4

Are you an Early Career Scholar (graduate student or with a PhD obtained in the last 5 years)

19 responses



Which period best describes your main research interests in history of economic thought?

19 responses




# Writing Workshop: Session 4

<b>Nationalities</b>	<b>Applications</b>
<i>Bolivia</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Brazil</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Colombia</i>	<b>2</b>
<i>Czech Republic</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Ecuador</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>France</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Greece</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>India</i>	<b>3</b>
<i>Italy</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Japan</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Kenya</i>	<b>3</b>
<i>United States</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Uruguay</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Venezuela</i>	<b>1</b>

# 4th Online Writing Workshop: Session 5

Are you an Early Career Scholar (graduate student or with a PhD obtained in the last 5 years)

17 responses



Which period best describes your main research interests in history of economic thought?

17 responses




# Writing Workshop: Session 5

Nationalities	Applications
<i>Brazil</i>	<b>2</b>
<i>Chile</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>China</i>	<b>3</b>
<i>Colombia</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Ecuador</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>France</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Greece</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>India</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Italy</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Portugal &amp; Spain</i>	<b>2</b>
<i>Russia &amp; Slovakia</i>	<b>2</b>
<i>United States</i>	<b>1</b>

# 4th Online Writing Workshop: Session 6


Are you an Early Career Scholar (graduate student or with a PhD obtained in the last 5 years)

10 responses



Which period best describes your main research interests in history of economic thought?

10 responses



# Writing Workshop: Session 6

<b>Nationalities</b>	<b>Applications</b>
<i>Brazil</i>	<b>4</b>
<i>Colombia</i>	<b>2</b>
<i>France</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Greece</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Morocco</i>	<b>1</b>
<i>Slovakia</i>	<b>1</b>

# Most Read Articles (last 30 days)

- Edwards, S., Montes, L. (2020). “Milton Friedman in Chile: Schock Therapy, Economic Freedom, and Exchange Rates”.
- Colander, D. (2009). “The Death of Neoclassical Economics”.
- Innset, O. (2023). “Dual Argument, Double Truth: On the continued Importance of the State in Neoliberal Thought”.
- Leshem, D. (2013). “Oikonomia Redefined”.
- Ahiakpor, J.C.W. (2013). “The Modern Ricardian Equivalence Theorem: Drawing the Wrong Conclusions from David Ricardo’s Analysis”.



# Most Cited Articles (published in the last 5 years)

- Lewin, Peter, and Nicolás Cachanosky (2018). “The Average Period of Production: The History and Rehabilitation of an Idea”
- Boianovsky, Mauro (2018). “Economists and Their Travels, or the Time when JFK Sent Douglass North on a Mission to Brazil” (2017 HES Presidential Address)
- Aspromourgos, T. (2018). “Keynes, Public Debt, and the Complex of Interest Rates”.
- Edwards, J. (2018). “Harry Helson’s Adaptational-Level Theory, Happiness, Treadmills, and Behavioral Economics”.
- Banzhaf, H.S. (2019). “The Environmental Turn in Natural Resource Economics: John Krutilla and ‘Conservation Reconsidered’”.